

SCORM White Paper call

Abstract

Author: Yannick Warnier <yannick.warnier@dokeos.com>

Acts as: Software Engineer for Dokeos SPRL, company acting as principal developer of the Dokeos open-source e-learning software.

This document is written as a broad recommendation, with deep knowledge of SCORM 1.2 but very uneven knowledge of SCORM 1.3/2004.

Problem definition

When including data in a learning package that is not exportable as part of a SCORM 2.0 package, there is no proper way to define this page as such. Providing the page with a message as an “asset” is only creating confusion as the page itself should not be counted as part of a percentage of completion, yet it should be possible to indicate that there was some kind of content but the content was not exportable.

Use case

An LMS or a content building software provides a way to export course contents as a SCORM 2.0 package, however some elements included are for internal viewing only inside the LMS or content building software. When a user wants to export this content, he needs to know, and the contents final users need to know that there was a content there, yet it shouldn't be counted as part of the total progress.

Stakeholders

Content building software developers.

LMS software developers.

Content creators.

Content users.

Proposed Solution

Add a new element type, besides “sco” and “asset”, that will be understood as a “non-exportable” element.

Alternatively, add a property to an “asset” element to mention “not accountable in progress calculation”.

Integration and other technical issues

Unknown

Existing implementations/prototypes

Not available

Summary and recommendations

Not available